

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address (** AMMISSI-NER FUR. PATENTS POLICY OF STATES (**) Alexa fra. Viguna. 22313-1154 www.uspfo.kov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	A FIORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09 888,741	06 25 2001	Gene F. Lightner		9781
75	90 08 21 2003			
Gene E. Lightner			EXAMINER	
706 S.W. 296th St. Federal Way, WA 98023			DAVIS, RUTH A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 08.21.2003	-7

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/888,741	LIGHTNER, GENE E.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Ruth A. Davis	1651				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 31 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which I (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	ation. A proper reply to a name application in				
	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	Brief must be filed within the per R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal or	eriod set forth in f the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) \square they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	 ·				
10. Other:						

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims remain vague and indefinite as per the previous office action. In addition, the references are ceratinly analogous to applicant's claimed invention, and render the claimed method obvious for the reasons stated in the previous office action.

PRIMARY EXAMINER